

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please amend the paragraph beginning on page 7, line 5, as follows:

Examples of the present invention and Comparative Examples will next be described, and the advantages of the present invention will also be described. Cladding materials having compositions shown in Table 1 were prepared. Each of the prepared cladding materials was clad with a core material (an Al-0.5Si-0.8Cu-1.2Mn alloy with additives of Cr (0.1 mass%) and Ti (0.15 mass%)) and a brazing material (JIS4045) with a cladding ratio of cladding material (10%)/core material (80%)/brazing material (10%), to obtain a three-layer cladding sheet having a final thickness of 1.2 mm. The pressure adhesion property was evaluated through visual observation of a blister generated on the surface of the cladding sheet having a width of 200 mm and a length of 1,000 mm. The portion around the blister was removed from the product, and the pressure adhesion property was represented by "O" in Table 1 if the yield was 90% or more. The pressure adhesion property was represented by "Δ" if the yield was 80 to 90%, and was represented by "×" if the yield was less than ~~89%~~ 79%. The cladding sheet was cut into a size of 100 mm in width and 230 mm in length, followed by brazing heating through holding the cut cladding sheet at 600□ for 5 minutes in nitrogen gas. The thus-prepared sheet was subjected to a tensile test (JISZ2201) to measure the post-braze strength. The post-braze strength is represented by "O" in Table 1 if the tensile strength is 160 Mpa or more.

Please amend the paragraph beginning on page 8, line 4, as follows:

As shown in Table 1, the aluminum brazing sheet of Examples 1 to 11 had excellent cladding pressure adhesive property and post-braze strength since the composition of the cladding material falls within the scope of the claims of the present invention. On the other hand, the aluminum brazing sheet of Comparative Examples 12, 14, and 20 had lower pressure adhesive property due to the high content of Mg. The aluminum brazing sheet of Comparative Examples 13 and 15 had insufficient post-braze strength since the Mn content is too low. The post-braze strength was unsatisfactory in Comparative Examples 16 and 17 since the Si content is too low and also in Comparative Examples 18 ~~and 19~~ since the Mg content is too low and in Comparative Example 19, since the Mn content is too low. Since

the aluminum brazing sheet of Comparative Example 21 had low rolling workability due to the high content of Si, a test piece for the tensile test was unable to be processed. A crack was generated in the aluminum brazing sheet of Comparative Example 22 during rolling since the content of Mn is too high, and thus a test piece for the tensile test was unable to be processed.

Please amend the data in Table 1 for Example 22 as follows:

Comparative Example	22	0.5	1.0	1.4	0.5	1620	x
Comparative Example	22	0.5	1.0	1.4	0.5	<u>Test piece unable to be processed</u>	x